IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.829 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.719 OF 2006

Sanjay Rangrao Pawar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri P.J. Pawar for the Applicant.

Shri Rajesh More, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 22, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Leave to amend. Heard the Advocates appearing for the parties. Appeal against conviction is already admitted. The conviction of the Applicant is for offence punishable under sections 498-A and 306 of the Indian Penal Code. The maximum substantive sentence is of four years. The sentences are ordered to run concurrently. The Applicant was in jail on 26th June, 2004 to 21st October, 2004 and thereafter from 26th July, 2006 onwards. Thus, he has already undergone detention for a period of about six months out of the substantive sentence of four years.

2. There is nothing on record to show the criminal antecedents of the Applicant. I have perused

the notes of evidence and the impugned order. Considering the large pendency of the Appeals in this court it is unlikely that this Appeal will be heard before the period of sentence is completed. There are no extra-ordinary features which require rejection of Application for bail. Hence, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. Application is disposed of by passing following order:

(i) The Applicant shall be enlarged on the same bail on which he was enlarged during the pendency of the trial subject to filing fresh bail bonds.

(ii) The bail is granted subject to condition that the Applicant will report to Satara Police Station once in every month till the disposal of the Appeal.

JUDGE